

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/653,437	09/01/2000	Jiuzhi Xue	57-00	5513
23713	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
	WINNER AND SUL	HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE		
5370 MANHA SUITE 201	ATTAN CIRCLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER, CO 80303			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/653,437	XUE ET AL.	ø
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Constantine Hannaher	2878	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			SS
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
_	Pennanius to communication(s) filed on 20	Mayambar 2002		
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p		erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>43</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>42 and 44-52</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	?	
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir Theorem 1.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application or the contraction of the	ition No ved in this National Sta	ge
Attachmen		Ω [(DTO 442)	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date 20031120.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 1-41 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the

Page: 2

reply filed on April 24, 2003. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of

nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

2. Newly submitted claim 52 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the

invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the species of x ray scattering analysis is

distinct from the species of infrared dichroism measurements.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention,

this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claim 52 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

However, claim 52 is nevertheless addressed on the merits under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph below.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed November 20, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR

1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for

consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to

therein has not been considered.

Note the error in identifying the European patent publication.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on November 20, 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page: 3

- 6. Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not describe that observation using x ray scattering is determinative of the presence of the specifically recited material phase. Instead, x ray scattering measurements would appear to relate to measurement of a SmA-SmC* transition. Since the specifically recited material phase has properties that are "very different from a conventional" SmA phase, one skilled in the art does not apprehend that the disclosure encompassed x ray scattering measurement for the determination of the specifically recited material phase.
- 7. Claims 42 and 44-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for determining the specifically recited material phase by making infrared dichroism measurements, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other method of determining. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Clearly, one skilled in the art would have to experiment unduly to discern what methods of determination are capable of determining the presence of the specifically recited material phase. As pointed out in the rejection of claim 52, x ray scattering measurements are not described by the specification as useful in determining the presence of the specifically recited material phase.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437

Art Unit: 2878

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page: 4

9. Claims 42-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The sole disclosed species of "determining" provided by the specification is application of infrared dichroism measurement (page 14). As pointed out in the rejection of claim 52, x ray scattering measurements are not described by the specification as useful in determining the presence of the specifically recited material phase. Accordingly, the specification does not support any distinction between the determination of claim 42 and the determination of claim 43. Since the scope of two claims in the same application cannot be coextensive, and since it is not apparent what might and what might not constitute a determination under claim 42 other than the determination of claim 43, the claims are indefinite.

Response to Submission(s)

10. The amendment filed November 20, 2003 has been entered. The delay in associating the amendment is regretted.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 43 is allowed.
- 12. No statement of allowability can be made for claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Constantine Hannaher whose telephone number is (571) 272-2437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with flexible hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2878

ch

onstantine Hannaher Primary Examiner